UDK 519.46 + 514.763 + 512.81 + 519.9 + 517.911
MSC 2010 22E30, 49J15, 53C17

(LOCALLY) SHORTEST ARCS OF SPECIAL SUB-RIEMANNIAN METRIC ON THE LIE GROUP $SO_0(2,1)$

V. N. BERESTOVSKII

arXiv:1410.1525v1 [math.DG] 6 Oct 2014

Abstract. The author finds geodesics, shortest arcs, cut locus, and conjugate sets for left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric on the Lie group $SO_0(2,1)$ under the condition that the metric is right-invariant relative to the Lie subgroup $SO(2) \subset SO_0(2,1)$.

Keywords and phrases: geodesic, Lie algebra, Lie group, left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric, shortest arc, geodesic.

Introduction

In this paper we find geodesics and shortest arcs for left-invariant and $SO(2)$-right-invariant sub-Riemannian metric d on the Lie-Lorentz group $SO_0(2,1)$, where $SO(2) \subset SO_0(2,1)$.

One can give the following natural geometric description of the metric d. The Lie group $SO_0(2,1)$ can be interpreted as an effective transitive group of all preserving orientation isometries of the Lobachevskii plane L^2 with constant Gaussian curvature -1 and hence as the space L_1^2 of unit tangent vectors on L^2. The space L_1^2 admits natural Riemannian metric (scalar product) g_1 by Sasaki (see [1] or section $1K$ in Besse book [2]). In addition, canonical projection $p : (L_1^2, g_1) \to L^2$ (or, which is equivalent, $p : SO_0(2,1) \to SO_0(2,1)/SO(2)$) is a *Riemannian submersion* [2]. The metric d is defined by totally nonholonomic distribution D on $SO_0(2,1)$, which is orthogonal to fibers of submersion p, and restriction of scalar product g_1 to D.

Moreover, canonical projection

$$p : (SO_0(2,1), d) \to L^2 \tag{1}$$

is a *submetry* [3], a natural generalization of Riemannian submersion. The distribution D on L_1^2 is nothing other than the restriction to L_1^2 of horizontal distribution of Levi-Civita connection [2] for L^2.

Geodesics and shortest arcs in $(SO_0(2,1), d)$ are found with the help of these ideas from article [5], general methods of work [6], and Gauss-Bonnet theorem [4] for L^2.

All results of the paper are supplied with complete proofs. Along with presentation of geodesics with origin at unit in the form of product of two 1-parameter subgroups and in explicit matrix form, we also use their geometric interpretation given in section 4.

The author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 14-01-00068-a) and a grant of the Government of the Russian Federation for the State Support of Scientific Research (Agreement №14.B25.31.0029).

1. Preliminaries

Pseudoeuclidean space $E^{n,1}$ or *Minkowski space-time* Mink^{n+1}, where $n+1 \geq 2$, is vector space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with *pseudoscalar product* $\{(t,x),(s,y)\} := -ts + (x,y)$. Here $(x,y) = xy^T$ is the *standard scalar product of vectors* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. *The Lorentz group* $SO_0(n,1)$ is the connected component of unit in group $P(n,1)$ of all linear *pseudoisometric* (i.e. preserving pseudoscalar product $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$) transformations of the space Mink^{n+1}.

Evidently,

$$\{(t,x),(s,y)\} = ((-t,x),(s,y)) = ((t,x)I,(s,y)) = (t,x)I(s,y)^T, \tag{2}$$

where I is the matrix of linear mapping $(t,x) \to (-t,x)$, i.e. *time reversing operator*. It follows from formula (2) that $A \in P(n,1)$ if and only if

$$(t,x)I(s,y)^T = (t,x)AI((s,y)A)^T = (t,x)AIA^T(s,y)^T \Leftrightarrow AIA^T = I$$

for any $(t,x),(s,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which is equivalent to

$$AIA^TI = E_{n+1}, \tag{3}$$

where $E_{n+1} = e$ is unit $(n+1)\times(n+1)-$matrix (we used the identity $I^2 = E_{n+1}$).

Remark 1. *Unlike isometry group of Euclidean space* E^{n+1}, *which has two connected components (consisting respectively of orthogonal matrices preserving or not preserving orientation of the space* E^{n+1}*) the group* $P(n,1)$ *has 4 connected components, because conditions of (not) preserving time direction and (not) preserving orientation of the space* $E^{n,1}$ *are mutually independent for matrices from* $P(n,1)$. *The group* $SO_0(n,1)$ *consists of those elements in* $P(n,1)$ *which simultaneously preserve time direction and orientation of the space* $E^{n,1}$.

Let $A = A(s)$, $-\varepsilon < s < \varepsilon$, be a continuously differentiable curve in $SO_0(n,1)$ such that $A(0) = e$, $A'(0) = a$. Then differentiation of identity (3) at $s = 0$ gives equality

$$a + Ia^TI = 0 \Leftrightarrow Ia + a^TI = 0 \Leftrightarrow Ia + (Ia)^T = 0.$$

Conversely it is not difficult to prove that if a matrix satisfies this condition then matrix exponent $\exp(sa) \in SO_0(n,1)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, since $I^2 = E_{n+1}$, then Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$ of Lie groups $P(n,1)$ and $SO_0(n,1)$ is defined by the following equality

$$\mathfrak{so}(n,1) = I \cdot \mathfrak{so}(n). \tag{4}$$

As a corollary,

$$(\mathfrak{so}(n,1))^T = \mathfrak{so}(n,1). \tag{5}$$

The Lie group $Sl(n) \subset Gl(n)$ of all real $(n\times n)-$matrices with determinant 1 is a closed connected subgroup of Lie group $Gl(n)$ with the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n) = \{a \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) : \mathrm{trace}(a) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{ll} = 0.\} \tag{6}$$

We shall be interested in the case $n = 2$. In view of equality (4) matrices

$$a = e_{12} + e_{21}, \quad b = e_{13} + e_{31}, \quad c = e_{32} - e_{23}, \tag{7}$$

where e_{ij} *is quadratic matrix which has 1 in i-th row and j-th column and 0 in all other places*, constitute a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)$. In addition, taking into account that $[a,b] = ab - ba$ etc., it is easy to find

$$[a,b] = -c, \quad [b,c] = a, \quad [c,a] = b. \tag{8}$$

Analogously, in view of (6), matrices

$$a' = \frac{1}{2}(e_{12} + e_{21}), \quad b' = \frac{1}{2}(e_{11} - e_{22}), \quad c' = \frac{1}{2}(e_{12} - e_{21}) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2) \tag{9}$$

constitute a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)$. Moreover,

$$[a',b'] = -c', \quad [b',c'] = a', \quad [c',a'] = b'. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 1. *Linear map* $l : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \to \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ *such that*

$$l(a') = a, \quad l(b') = b, \quad l(c') = c, \tag{11}$$

is an isomorphism of Lie algebras. Furthermore, formula

$$L(\exp(w)) = \exp(l(w)), w \in \mathfrak{sl}(2), \tag{12}$$

correctly defines epimorphism of Lie groups $L : Sl(2) \to SO_0(2,1)$ *with the kernel* $\ker L = \{\pm E_2\}$.

Proof. First statement is a corollary of equalities (8), (10). Second statement follows from the first one and the fact that both Lie groups $SO_0(2,1)$ and $Sl(2)/\{\pm E_2\}$ are realized as effective full groups of preserving orientation of Lobachevskii plane of constant sectional curvature -1 for two of its H.Poincare models with stabilizers $\exp(\mathbb{R}c)$ and $\exp(\mathbb{R}c')/\{\pm E_2\}$, isomorphic to $SO(2)$ (see sections 3 and 4). Now note only that

$$L(-E_2) = L(\exp(2\pi c')) = \exp(2\pi c) = e_{11} + \cos(2\pi)(e_{22} + e_{33}) + \sin(2\pi)c = E_3.$$

□

Let G and H be Lie groups with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$; $\phi : G \to H$ is a Lie groups homomorphism. Then

$$\phi \circ \exp_{\mathfrak{g}} = \exp_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ d\phi_e, \tag{13}$$

moreover,

$$d\phi_e : (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]) \to (\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot,\cdot]) \tag{14}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism (see lemma 1.12 in [7]). If $g_0 \in G$ then $\mathrm{I}(g_0) : G \to G$, where $\mathrm{I}(g_0)(g) = g_0 g g_0^{-1}$ is inner automorphism of the Lie group G. Consequently, $\mathrm{Ad}(g_0) := d\,\mathrm{I}(g_0)_e \in Gl(\mathfrak{g})$ is automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $d\,\mathrm{Ad}_e(v) := \mathrm{ad}(v) := [v,\cdot]$ for $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ [7]. Therefore, on the ground of formula (13),

$$\mathrm{I}(g_0) \circ \exp = \exp \circ \mathrm{Ad}(g_0), \tag{15}$$

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\exp_{\mathfrak{g}}(v)) = \exp_{\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathrm{ad}(v)), v \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{16}$$

Later $\mathrm{Lin}(a,b)$ *will denote linear span of vectors* a, b. *As an auxiliary tool we shall use standard scalar product* $(\cdot,\cdot)$ *on Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{gl}(n) = \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$.

In case of left-invariant sub-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups, every geodesic is a left shift of some geodesic which starts at the unit. Thus later we shall consider only geodesics with unit origin. Theorem 5 in paper [6] implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let* G *be a connected Lie subgroup of the Lie group* $Gl(n)$ *with the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$, D *is totally nonholonomic left-invariant distribution on* G, *a scalar product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *on* $D(e)$ *is proportional to restriction of the scalar product* $(\cdot,\cdot)$ *(to* $D(e)$*). Then parametrized by arclength normal geodesic (i.e. locally shortest arc)* $\gamma = \gamma(t)$, $t \in (-a, a) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma(0) = e$, *on* (G, d) *with left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric* d, *defined by distribution* D *and scalar product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *on* $D(e)$, *satisfies the system of ordinary differential equations*

$$\dot{\gamma}\ (t) = \gamma(t)u(t),\ u(t) \in D(e) \subset \mathfrak{g},\ \langle u(t), u(t)\rangle \equiv 1, \tag{17}$$

$$pr_{\mathfrak{g}}([u(t)^T, u(t)] + [u(t)^T, v(t)]) = \dot{u}\ (t) + \dot{v}\ (t), \tag{18}$$

where $u = u(t)$, $v = v(t) \in \mathfrak{g}$, $(v(t), D(e)) \equiv 0$, $t \in (a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, *are some real-analytic vector functions.*

Equations (17), (18) imply

Corollary 1. *Every parametrized by arclength geodesic in* (G, d) *is a part of unique parametrized by arclength geodesic* $\gamma = \gamma(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *in* (G, d).

2. Search of geodesics in $(SO_0(2,1), d)$

Theorem 3. *Let be given the basis (7) of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{so}(2,1)$, $D(e) = \mathrm{Lin}(a, b)$, *and scalar product* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *on* $D(e)$ *with orthonormal basis* a, b. *Then left-invariant distribution* D *on the Lie group* $SO_0(2,1)$ *with given* $D(e)$ *is totally nonholonomic and the pair* $(D(e), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ *defines left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric* d *on* $SO_0(2,1)$. *Moreover, any parametrized by arclength geodesic* $\gamma = \gamma(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *in* $SO_0(2,1)$ *with condition* $\gamma(0) = e$ *is a product of two 1-parameter subgroups:*

$$\gamma(t) = \exp(t(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))\exp(t\beta c), \tag{19}$$

where ϕ_0, β *are some arbitrary constants.*

Proof. The first statement of theorem follows from formula (8).

It is clear that on $D(e)$

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\cdot,\cdot). \tag{20}$$

In consequence of theorem 3 in [6] every geodesic on 3-dimensional Lie group with left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric is normal. Then it follows from theorem 2 that one can apply ODE (17),(18) to find geodesics $\gamma = \gamma(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, in $(SO(3), d)$.

It is clear that

$$u(t) = \cos\phi(t)a + \sin\phi(t)b, \quad v(t) = \beta(t)c, \tag{21}$$

and the identity (18) is written in the form

$$[\cos\phi(t)a + \sin\phi(t)b, \beta(t)c] = \dot{\phi}\,(t)(-\sin\phi(t)a + \cos\phi(t)b) + \dot{\beta}\,(t)c.$$

In consequence of (8), expression in the left part of equality is equal to

$$-\beta(t)(\cos\phi(t)b - \sin\phi(t)a).$$

We get identities $\dot{\beta}\,(t) = 0$, $\dot{\phi}\,(t) = -\beta(t)$. Hence

$$\beta = \beta(t) = \text{const}, \quad \phi(t) = \phi_0 - \beta t. \tag{22}$$

In view of (17), (21), and (22), it must be

$$\dot{\gamma}\,(t) = \gamma(t)(\cos(\phi_0 - \beta t)a + \sin(\phi_0 - \beta t)b). \tag{23}$$

Let us prove that (19) is a solution of ODE (23). One can easily deduce from formulae (8), (7) equalities

$$(\mathrm{ad}(c)) = Ia, \quad (\mathrm{ad}(b)) = b, \quad (\mathrm{ad}(a)) = -(e_{23} + e_{32}), \tag{24}$$

where (f) denotes the matrix of linear map $f : \mathfrak{so}(2,1) \to \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$ in the base a, b, c; later (f) is identified with f. On the ground of formulae (16), (24), (22), (21)

$$\dot{\gamma}\,(t) = \exp(t(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c)\exp(t\beta c) +$$

$$\gamma(t)(\beta c) = \gamma(t)\exp(-t\beta c)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c)\exp(t\beta c) + \gamma(t)(\beta c) =$$

$$\gamma(t)\exp(-t\beta c)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b)\exp(t\beta c) + \gamma(t)(-\beta c) + \gamma(t)(\beta c) =$$

$$\gamma(t)\cdot[\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(-t\beta c))(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b)] = \gamma(t)\cdot[\exp(\mathrm{ad}(-t\beta c))(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b)] =$$

$$\gamma(t)\cdot[\exp(-t\beta(\mathrm{ad}(c)))(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b)] = \gamma(t)\cdot[\exp(-t\beta(Ia))(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b)] =$$

$$\gamma(t)\cdot(\cos(\phi_0 - \beta t)a + \sin(\phi_0 - \beta t)b) = \gamma(t)u(t).$$

□

Remark 2. *Both 1-parameter subgroups from formula (19) are nowhere tangent to distribution D for $\beta \neq 0$ so that any their interval has infinite length in metric d.*

Remark 3. *To change a sign of β in (19) is the same as to change a sign of t and to change angle ϕ_0 by angle $\phi_0 \pm \pi$.*

Remark 4. *For any matrix $B \in SO(2) = \exp(\mathbb{R}c)$, the map $l_B \circ r_{B^{-1}}$, where l_B is multiplication on the left by B, $r_{B^{-1}}$ is multiplication on the left by B^{-1}, is simultaneously automorphism $\mathrm{Ad}\,B$ of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{so}(2,1), [\cdot,\cdot])$, preserving $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, and automorphism of the Lie group $SO_0(2,1)$, preserving distribution D and metric d. In particular in view of (16), (24)*

$$\mathrm{Ad}\,B(a - \beta c) = \exp(\phi_0(Ia))(a - \beta c) = \cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c,$$

if

$$B = \exp(\phi_0 c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\phi_0 & -\sin\phi_0 \\ 0 & \sin\phi_0 & \cos\phi_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

Proposition 1. *Let $\gamma(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be geodesic in $(SO_0(2,1), d)$ defined by formula (19). Then for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\gamma(t_0)^{-1}\gamma(t) = \exp((t-t_0)(\cos(\beta t_0+\phi_0)a+\sin(\beta t_0+\phi_0)b-\beta c))\exp((t-t_0)\beta c). \tag{26}$$

Proof. On the basis of formulae (15), (16), (24),

$$\gamma(t_0)^{-1}\gamma(t) = \exp(-t_0\beta c)\exp(-t_0(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))\cdot$$
$$\exp(t(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))\exp(t\beta c) =$$
$$\exp(-t_0\beta c)\exp((t-t_0)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))\exp(t_0\beta c)\exp((t-t_0)\beta c) =$$
$$[\mathrm{I}(\exp(-t_0\beta c))(\exp((t-t_0)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c)))]\cdot\exp((t-t_0)\beta c) =$$
$$\exp[\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(-t_0\beta c)((t-t_0)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))]\cdot\exp((t-t_0)\beta c) =$$
$$\exp[\exp(\mathrm{ad}(-t_0\beta c))((t-t_0)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))]\cdot\exp((t-t_0)\beta c) =$$
$$\exp[\exp(-t_0\beta(Ia))((t-t_0)(\cos\phi_0 a + \sin\phi_0 b - \beta c))]\cdot\exp((t-t_0)\beta c) =$$
$$\exp((t-t_0)(\cos(\phi_0-\beta t_0)a + \sin(\phi_0-\beta t_0)b - \beta c))\cdot\exp((t-t_0)\beta c).$$

□

Lemma 1. *Let $x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{so}(2,1)$,*

$$q := x_{21}^2 + x_{31}^2 - x_{32}^2, \quad \alpha := \sqrt{|q|}. \tag{27}$$

Then

$$\exp(x) = e + x + \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad \textit{if} \quad q = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\exp(x) = e + \frac{\sin\alpha}{\alpha}x + \frac{1-\cos\alpha}{\alpha^2}x^2, \quad \textit{if} \quad q < 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\exp(x) = e + \frac{\mathrm{sh}\,\alpha}{\alpha}x + \frac{\mathrm{ch}\,\alpha - 1}{\alpha^2}x^2, \quad \textit{if} \quad q > 0, \tag{30}$$

where e is unit matrix of third order.

Proof. Note that characteristic polynomial of the matrix x is equal to

$$P(\lambda) = |x - \lambda e| = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & x_{21} & x_{31} \\ x_{21} & -\lambda & -x_{32} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda^3 + \lambda q,$$

where q is defined by formula (27).

By Hamilton – Cayley theorem [8], the matrix x is a root of the polynomial $P(\lambda)$, i.e. $x^3 = qx$. It follows from here that (28) and

$$x^{2n+1} = (-1)^n\alpha^{2n}x, \quad x^{2n} = (-1)^{n+1}\alpha^{2n-2}x^2, \quad \text{if} \quad q < 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$
$$x^{2n+1} = \alpha^{2n}x, \quad x^{2n} = \alpha^{2n-2}x^2, \quad \text{if} \quad q > 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Therefore for $q < 0$,

$$\exp(x) = e + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{n!} = e + \frac{x}{\alpha}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n\alpha^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} - \frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n\alpha^{2n}}{(2n)!},$$

and (29) is fulfilled. Analogously for $q > 0$,

$$\exp(x) = e + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = e + \frac{x}{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} + \frac{x^2}{\alpha^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

and (30) is true. □

Theorem 4. *Let*

$$m = t,\ n = \frac{t^2}{2}, \quad \textit{if} \quad \beta^2 = 1, \tag{31}$$

$$m = \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\beta^2-1})}{\sqrt{\beta^2-1}},\ n = \frac{1-\cos(t\sqrt{\beta^2-1})}{\beta^2-1}, \quad \textit{if} \quad \beta^2 > 1, \tag{32}$$

$$m = \frac{\operatorname{sh}(t\sqrt{1-\beta^2})}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}},\ n = \frac{\operatorname{ch}(t\sqrt{1-\beta^2})-1}{1-\beta^2}, \quad \textit{if} \quad \beta^2 < 1, \tag{33}$$

Then the geodesic $\gamma = \gamma(t)$ of left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric d on the Lie group $SO_0(2,1)$ (see theorem 3) is equal to

(34)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+n & m\cos(\beta t-\phi_0)+\beta n\sin(\beta t-\phi_0) & \beta n\cos(\beta t-\phi_0)-m\sin(\beta t-\phi_0) \\ m\cos\phi_0+\beta n\sin\phi_0 & n\cos(\beta t-\phi_0)\cos\phi_0+\beta m\sin\beta t+(1-\beta^2 n)\cos\beta t & -n\sin(\beta t-\phi_0)\cos\phi_0+\beta m\cos\beta t-(1-\beta^2 n)\sin\beta t \\ m\sin\phi_0-\beta n\cos\phi_0 & n\cos(\beta t-\phi_0)\sin\phi_0-\beta m\cos\beta t+(1-\beta^2 n)\sin\beta t & -n\sin(\beta t-\phi_0)\sin\phi_0+\beta m\sin\beta t+(1-\beta^2 n)\cos\beta t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi_0 = 0$. Then (19) takes the form

$$\gamma(t)\mid_{\phi_0=0} = \exp(t(a-\beta c))\exp(t\beta c).$$

Using lemma 1, we get

$$\exp(t(a-\beta c)) = \exp\left(t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & -\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$m\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & -\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} + n\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & -\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+n & m & n\beta \\ m & 1+n(1-\beta^2) & m\beta \\ -n\beta & -m\beta & 1-n\beta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (25), matrices $B = \exp(\phi_0)$ and $\exp(t\beta c)$ commute. It follows from here, (19), and remark 4 that

$$\gamma(t) = B\cdot\gamma(t)\mid_{\phi_0=0}\cdot B^{-1} = B\exp(t(a-\beta c))B^{-1}\exp(t\beta c) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\phi_0 & -\sin\phi_0 \\ 0 & \sin\phi_0 & \cos\phi_0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1+n & m & n\beta \\ m & 1+n(1-\beta^2) & m\beta \\ -n\beta & -m\beta & 1-n\beta^2 \end{pmatrix}\times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\beta t-\phi_0) & -\sin(\beta t-\phi_0) \\ 0 & \sin(\beta t-\phi_0) & \cos(\beta t-\phi_0) \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+n & m & \beta n \\ m\cos\phi_0+\beta n\sin\phi_0 & (1+(1-\beta^2)n)\cos\phi_0+\beta m\sin\phi_0 & \beta m\cos\phi_0-(1-\beta^2 n)\sin\phi_0 \\ m\sin\phi_0-\beta n\cos\phi_0 & (1+(1-\beta^2)n)\sin\phi_0-\beta m\cos\phi_0 & \beta m\sin\phi_0+(1-\beta^2 n)\cos\phi_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\beta t-\phi_0) & -\sin(\beta t-\phi_0) \\ 0 & \sin(\beta t-\phi_0) & \cos(\beta t-\phi_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculation of the product of last two matrices finishes the proof of theorem 4. □

Corollary 2. *If $\phi_0 = 0$ then in notation (31),(32), and (33),*

(35)
$$\gamma(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+n & m\cos\beta t+\beta n\sin\beta t & \beta n\cos\beta t - m\sin\beta t \\ m & \beta m\sin\beta t+(1+(1-\beta^2)n)\cos\beta t & \beta m\cos\beta t-(1+(1-\beta^2)n)\sin\beta t \\ -\beta n & -\beta m\cos\beta t+(1-\beta^2 n)\sin\beta t & \beta m\sin\beta t+(1-\beta^2 n)\cos\beta t \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Conformal Poincare model of Lobachevskii plane

Let us recall a known geometric interpretation of the Lie group $Sl(2)/\{\pm E_2\}$ as the group of all preserving orientation isometries of Lobachevskii plane L^2. Upper half-plane $P = \{z = x + yi : y > 0\}$ of complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ with metric tensor $ds^2 = \frac{dx^2+dy^2}{y^2}$ is *conformal Poincare model of Lobachevskii plane* L^2. The group $Sl(2)$ acts by preserving orientation isometries on the half-plane P by means of real linear-fractional transformations

$$z \to \frac{az+b}{cz+d}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in Sl(2).$$

It is clear that the kernel of this action is the central subgroup $\{\pm E_2\} \subset Sl(2)$. Consequently the Lie group $Sl(2)/\{\pm E_2\}$ is really the group of (all) preserving orientation isometries of the Lobachevskii plane L^2.

One can easily check that Lie subgroup $SO(2)/\{\pm E_2\} \subset Sl(2)/\{\pm E_2\}$ is the stabilizer of point $z_0 = i$ relative to indicated action, i.e. consists exactly of those elements of the group $Sl(2)/\{\pm E_2\}$, which fix this point; moreover the group $SO(2)/\{\pm E_2\}$ acts (simply) transitively by rotations on the circle, the set of unit tangent vectors to the half-plane P at the point i. Therefore P is naturally identified with the quotient-space $(Sl(2)/\{\pm E_2\})/(SO(2)/\{\pm E_2\})$.

4. The group $SO_0(n,1)$ is a connected isometry group of the space L^n

In section 1 the group $SO_0(n,1)$ acted by pseudoisometries from the right on vector-rows of Minkowski space-time Mink^{n+1}. In consequence of formula (5) we can, and shall suppose that the group $SO_0(n,1)$ acts from the left on vector-columns of Minkowski space-time Mink^{n+1}.

Orbit $SO_0(n,1)\cdot(w_0 = (1,0,...,0)^T)$ of event $(1,0,...,0)^T \in \mathrm{Mink}^{n+1}$ is the upper (more exactly, for "usual" disposition of coordinate axes, "right") sheet of two-sheeted hyperboloid

$$-t^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 = \{(t,x)^T,(t,x)^T\} = -1, \quad t > 0. \tag{36}$$

Restriction of pseudoscalar product $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ to the tangent vector bundle of this orbit is scalar product and the orbit with this scalar product is isometric to n-dimensional

Lobachevskii space L^n of constant sectional curvature -1. Therefore later L^n will denote this orbit. Then $SO_0(n,1)$ is automatically the largest connected transitive isometry group of the space L^n. Moreover, subgroup

$$SO(n) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & SO(n) \end{pmatrix} \subset SO_0(n,1)$$

is stabilizer of the group $SO_0(n,1)$ at the point w_0. Therefore L^n is naturally identified with homogeneous space $SO_0(n,1)/SO(n)$ while the action of the group $SO_0(n,1)$ on L^n is identified with its standard left action on a $SO_0(n,1)/SO(n)$. Canonical projection $p : SO_0(n,1) \to L^n = SO_0(n,1)/SO(n)$ is defined by formula $p(g) = gSO(n)$.

Remark 5. *Unlike conformal model of Lobachevskii plane from section 3 geodesics, equidistant curves, circles, and horocycles of Lobachevskii plane have simple visual description in "relativistic" model from section 4. Namely, their collection for $n = 2$ is the set of all sections of the sheet (36) of two-sheeted hyperboloid by planes. Moreover all tangent vectors of any such plane are pseudoorthogonal to some non-zero vector v: to space-like ($\{v,v\} > 0$) for geodesics and equidistant curves (and in the case of geodesic, corresponding plane passes through origin of coordinates O), time-like ($\{v,v\} < 0$) for circles, and isotropic $\{v,v\} = 0$) for horocycles. Analogous statements are true for $n > 2$.*

The Lorentz group $SO_0(n,1)$ is diffeomorphic to the space L^n_1 of all unit tangent vectors to L^n. Namely, any element $g \in SO_0(n,1)$ corresponds to unit tangent vector $f(g) := g(v_0)$ to L^n at point $g(w_0)$, where $v_0 = (0,1,0,\dots,0)^T$ is unit tangent vector to L^n at the point w_0.

Next statements of this section are based on information given in the introduction.

For any geodesic path $\gamma(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ with arbitrary origin $g \in SO_0(2,1)$, $f(\gamma(t))$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, is a parallel vector field (in *Lobachevskii plane!*) along projection $p(\gamma(t))$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, in the sense of [4] with initial unit tangent vector $f(\gamma(0)) = g(v_0) \in L^2_1$ [5].

1) In particular, if $\gamma(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is geodesic in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ of the form (19) with $\phi_0 = 0$, then $\gamma'(0) = v_0$ and $f(\gamma(t))$ $0 \le t \le t_1$, is parallel vector field in L^2 along $p(\gamma(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with initial unit tangent vector $\gamma'(0)$.

2) Canonical projection $p : (SO_0(2,1),d) \to L^2$ is submetry [3], [5].

3) If $\gamma(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, is any (parametrized by arclength) geodesic in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ then its projection $p(\gamma(t))$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, in L^2 is parametrized by arclength.

4) On the ground of proposition 1, remark 4, and left invariance of the metric d, for the search of all shortest arcs in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ it is enough to find all noncontinuable shortest arcs of the form $\gamma(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, (19) with $\phi_0 = 0$.

5. Shortest arcs in the Lie group $(SO_0(2,1),d)$

Let us use statements 1) — 4) from section 4 to find shortest arcs in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$. In particular, it is sufficient to investigate segments of geodesics of the form

$$\gamma(t) = \exp(t(a - \beta c))\exp(t\beta c), \quad 0 \le t \le t_1, \tag{37}$$

and their projections

$$x(t) := p(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(t) \cdot w_0 = \gamma(t) \cdot (1,0,0)^T = (1+n, m, -\beta n)^T, \quad 0 \le t \le t_1, \tag{38}$$

to the plane L^2, where m, n are defined by formulae (31),(32),(33) (we used formula (35)).

Let us formulate the Gauss-Bonnet theorem [4]. Let M be two-dimensional oriented manifold with Riemannian metric ds^2, Φ is a region in M, homeomorphic to disc and bounded by closed piece-wise regular curve γ with regular links $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, forming angles $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ from the side of region Φ. Direction on the curve γ is given so that the region Φ is situated from the right under bypass of the curve in this direction. Then

Theorem 5.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\gamma_k} \kappa ds + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\pi - \alpha_k) = 2\pi - \int\int_{\Phi} K d\sigma,$$

where κ is geodesic curvature at points of links of the curve, K is Gaussian (sectional) curvature of the surface (M, ds^2), and integration in the right part of equality is taken by area element of the region Φ.

Formula for calculation of κ in semigeodesic system of coordinates is given in [4]. Below we construct a semigeodesic system of coordinates (u, v) in L^2.

Canonical parametrized by arclength geodesic in L^2 has a form $\tilde{\gamma}(s) = (\operatorname{ch} s, \operatorname{sh} s, 0)$. It follows from here and the invariance of distance in L^2 with respect to action of the group $SO_0(2,1)$ that distance between arbitrary points $(t, x, y)^T$ and $(t_1, x_1, y_1)^T$ in L^2 is equal to

$$\rho((t,x,y)^T, (t_1, x_1, y_1)^T) = \operatorname{arcch}(-\{(t,x,y)^T, (t_1, x_1, y_1)^T\}). \tag{39}$$

Let $(t, x, y)^T$ be arbitrary point in L^2. Then $(1/\sqrt{t^2 - y^2})(t, 0, y)^T \in L^2$ and by (39), distances from this point to points $(t, x, y)^T$ and $w_0 = (1, 0, 0)^T$ are equal respectively to $\operatorname{arcch}(\sqrt{t^2 - y^2})$ and $\operatorname{arcch}(t/\sqrt{t^2 - y^2})$. In accordance with this, define coordinates u, v of the point $(t, x, y)^T$ by formulae

$$u = (\operatorname{sgn} x) \operatorname{arcch}(\sqrt{t^2 - y^2}), \quad v = (\operatorname{sgn} y) \operatorname{arcch}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 - y^2}}\right). \tag{40}$$

Taking into account what we said above, it is not difficult to check that all points of line $u = u_0$ are disposed from line $u = 0$ on distance $|u_0|$, moreover line $v = v_0$ gives shortest junction of point (u_0, v_0) with the line $u = 0$, and the line $u = 0$ is geodesic, for which v is parametrization by arclength. It follows from here that the length element in these coordinates is defined by formula

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \operatorname{ch}^2(u) dv^2, \tag{41}$$

i.e. (u, v) is semigeodesic system of coordinates in L^2. Furthermore *first partial derivatives of components of metric tensor and Christoffel symbols in this system of coordinates are equal to zero on the line $u = 0$*. One can easily deduce from here, proposition 1, formula (23), and formula for κ in [4] the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *Projection (38) has constant geodesic curvature κ and*

$$u(0)=0,\quad v(0)=0,\quad u'(0)=1,\quad v'(0)=0,\quad \kappa=-v''(0).$$

for its coordinate presentation $(u(t),v(t))=(u(x(t)),v(x(t)))$.

Remark 6. *N.I.Lobachevskii already constructed by other method a semigeodesic system of coordinates (u,v) in L^2 with length element (41) and wrote explicitly the formula (41). He found an analogous system of coordinates and formula of length element for L^3. This contradicts the conventional belief that Lobachevskii himself didn't present a model of his geometry (and therefore gave no complete logical justification of this geometry).*

Proposition 3. *Geodesic curvature of the projection (38) is equal to $\kappa=\beta$.*

Proof. In consequence of formulae (38), (31), (32), (33), and (40)

$$n(0)=m(0)=0,\quad n'=m,\quad n'(0)=0,\quad n''(0)=1$$

and for small positive t

$$v(t)=\operatorname{sgn}(-\beta)\operatorname{arcch}\left(\frac{1+n}{\sqrt{1+2n+(1-\beta^2)n^2}}\right),$$

$$v'(t)=\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(-\beta)}{\operatorname{sh}(\operatorname{arcch}((1+n)/\sqrt{1+2n+(1-\beta^2)n^2}))}\cdot\left(\frac{1+n}{\sqrt{1+2n+(1-\beta^2)n^2}}\right)'=$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+2n+(1-\beta^2)n^2}}{|\beta|n}\cdot\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(-\beta)\beta^2nn'}{(1+2n+(1-\beta^2)n^2)^{3/2}}=\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(-\beta)|\beta|n'}{1+2n+(1-\beta^2)n^2}.$$

On the ground of proposition 2

$$\kappa=-v''(0)=\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)|\beta|n''(0)=\beta.$$

□

According to theorem 4 we shall consider later 4 cases:
I) $\beta=0$, II) $0<\beta^2<1$, III) $\beta^2=1$, IV) $1<\beta^2$.

The next corollary follows immediately from proposition 3 and known facts of hyperbolic geometry.

Corollary 3. *Projection (38) is I) geodesic, II) equidistant curve, III) horocycle, IV) circle.*

Lemma 2. *In the case I) every segment $\gamma(t)$, $0\le t\le t_1$, is a shortest arc.*

Proof. On the basis of corollary 3 every segment (38) is a shortest arc. Assume that the statement of lemma is false. Then there is another shortest geodesic segment $\gamma_0(t)$, $0\le t\le t_0<t_1$, in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ with the same ends as for the segment in lemma. Hence in view of 3) the path $x_0(t):=p(\gamma_0(t))$, $0\le t\le t_0$, has length $t_0<t_1$ and the same ends as the shortest arc (38) with the length t_1, a contradiction. □

Proposition 4. *Suppose that projection (38) of geodesic segment (37), where $\beta \neq 0$, has no self-intersection (this is always true in cases II and III and it is true in the case IV when $0 \leq t_1 < 2\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}$), $S(t_1) = S(t_1, \beta)$ is area of curvilinear digon P in L^2 bounded by the segment (38) and the shortest arc $[x(0)x(t_1)]$ with the length $r = r(t_1)$ in L^2, $\psi = \psi(t_1, \beta)$ is the angle of the digon P. Then*

$$S(t_1) = |\beta| t_1 - 2\psi, \quad r = \operatorname{arcch}((1+n)(t_1)), \quad r'(t_1) = \cos\psi = \frac{m}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}}. \tag{42}$$

In addition $S'(t_1) > 0$, $t_1 > 0$; $0 < \psi < \pi/2$ in cases II and III, and in the case IV when $0 \leq t_1 < \pi/\sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}$.

Proof. In consequence of remark 3 one can assume that $\beta > 0$. Segment $[x(0)x(t_1)]$ has geodesic curvature 0. Then the first equality in (42) is a direct corollary of proposition 3 and theorem 5 for $K = -1$, the second equality follows from formulae (38) and (39), the next one is a well-known statement of Riemannian geometry (on existence of strong angle), the last equality is result of differentiation of second equality in (42). Inequalities $0 < \psi(t_1) < \pi/2$ are valid in indicated cases in view of the last equality in (42), first formulae in (31), (32), (33) and equality $\lim_{t_1 \to +0} \psi(t_1) = 0$.

Let us prove the rest of the statement. It is known that in L^2

$$l(r, \alpha) = \alpha \operatorname{sh} r, \tag{43}$$

$$S(r, \alpha) = \int_0^r \alpha \operatorname{sh} s ds = \alpha \operatorname{ch} s|_0^r = \alpha(\operatorname{ch} r - 1), \tag{44}$$

where $l(r, \alpha)$ is the length of arc of circle of radius r with central angle $\alpha \leq 2\pi$, and $S(r, \alpha)$ is area of corresponding sector. From here, (42), and second formulae in (31), (32), (33) follow relations

$$S'(t_1) = (\operatorname{ch} r - 1)\psi'(t_1) = |\beta| - 2\psi'(t_1),$$

$$\psi'(t_1) = \frac{|\beta|}{\operatorname{ch} r + 1} = \frac{|\beta|}{n+2}, \tag{45}$$

$$S'(t_1) = \frac{|\beta| n}{n+2}(t_1) > 0, \quad t_1 > 0. \tag{46}$$

□

Proposition 5. *1)If $\beta \neq 0$ then geodesic segment (37) is noncontinuable shortest arc when its projection (38) is a) one time passing circle C bounding disc with area $S(t_1) \leq \pi$ or b) curve without self-intersections bounding together with the shortest arc $[x(0)x(t_1)]$ in L^2 digon P in L^2 with area $S(t_1) = \pi$.*

2) For every $\beta \neq 0$ there is unique $t_1 > 0$ such that the condition a) or b) is satisfied; a) is satisfied only if $|\beta| \geq 3/\sqrt{5}$.

Proof. 1) a) It is clear that $\gamma(t_1) \in SO(2)$. Then in consequence of remark 4 for the same β and any ϕ_0, segment of geodesic (19) under $t \in [0, t_1]$ joins the same points as (37). Consequently every continuation of the segment (37) is not a shortest arc.

Let us suppose that there exists a shortest arc $\gamma_2(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_2 < t_1$, in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ which joins points $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\gamma(t_1)$. Then projection $x_2(t) = p(\gamma_2(t))$, $0 \le t \le t_2$, is one time passing circle C_2 in L^2 with length $t_2 < t_1$ and therefore bounds a disc with area $S(t_2) < S(t_1) \le \pi$. Consequently on the ground of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem results of parallel translations of nonzero vectors along C and C_2 in L^2 are different. Then $\gamma_2(t_2) \ne \gamma(t_1)$ in view of geometric interpretation of geodesics in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ given in section 4, a contradiction.

b) Let P' be a digon, symmetric to the digon P relative to segment $x(0)x(t_1)$. Since $S(t_1) = \pi$ then by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem results of parallel translations in L^2 of tangent vectors along closed paths, bounding P and P', are equal. Therefore on the ground of remarks 3, 4 and geometric interpretation of geodesics in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$, given in section 4, a curve in L^2, symmetric to the projection (38) of segment (37) relative to segment $x(0)x(t_1)$, is presented in the form $p(\gamma_1(t))$, $0 \le t \le t_1$, where γ_1 is geodesic in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$ such that $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma(0)$, $\gamma_1(t_1) = \gamma(t_1)$. Consequently every continuation of the segment (37) is not a shortest arc.

Let us suppose that there is a shortest arc $\gamma_2(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_2 < t_1$, in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$, joining points $\gamma(0) = e$ and $\gamma(t_1)$. Then in consequence of remarks 3 and 4 we can assume that curves (38) and $x_2(t) = p(\gamma_2(t))$, $0 \le t \le t_2$, lie on the one side of the shortest arc $[x(0)x(t_1)]$ and join ends of this shortest arc. Consequently on the ground of proposition 3 the digon P and digon P_2, bounded by the shortest arc $[x(0)x(t_1)]$ and the curve $x_2(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_2$, are convex, moreover intersection of their boundaries is the shortest arc $[x(0)x(t_1)]$ because $t_2 < t_1$. Therefore in view of last inequality the curve $x_2(t)$, $0 < t < t_2$, lies inside P and $S(t_2) < S(t_1) = \pi$, where $S(t_2)$ is area of the digon P_2. Consequently on the ground of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem results of parallel translations of nonzero tangent vectors along boundaries of P and P_2 in L^2 are different. Then $\gamma_2(t_2) \ne \gamma(t_1)$ in view of geometric interpretation of geodesics in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$, given in section 4, a contradiction.

2) On the basis of corollary 3 and first equality in (42) the condition a) is fulfilled only if

$$\beta^2 > 1, \quad S\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\beta^2-1}}\right) = |\beta|\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\beta^2-1}} - 2\pi \le \pi \Leftrightarrow |\beta| \ge \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

If $0 < |\beta| < \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}$ then in consequence of proposition 4 there exists unique $t_1 > 0$ for which the condition b) is satisfied. □

Later for every number $\beta \ne 0$ we shall find a number $t_1 = t_1(\beta)$, satisfying conditions of proposition 5.

II) On the basis of first formula in (42) it must be

$$\pi = |\beta|t_1 - 2\psi, \quad \frac{|\beta|t_1}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \psi, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{|\beta|t_1}{2} < \pi. \tag{47}$$

We deduce from formulae (47), (33) and last equality in (42) that

$$\sin\left(\frac{|\beta|t_1}{2}\right) = \cos\psi = \frac{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}\,\mathrm{ch}(t_1\sqrt{1-\beta^2}/2)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{ch}^2(t_1\sqrt{1-\beta^2}/2) - \beta^2}}, \tag{48}$$

$$-\cos\left(\frac{|\beta|t_1}{2}\right)=\sin\psi=\frac{|\beta|\,\mathrm{sh}(t_1\sqrt{1-\beta^2}/2)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{ch}^2(t_1\sqrt{1-\beta^2}/2)-\beta^2}}, \tag{49}$$

III) On the basis of (31) and first formula in (42) we must have the same formulae (47) for $|\beta|=1$ and

$$\sin\left(\frac{t_1}{2}\right)=\cos\psi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(t_1/2)^2}}. \tag{50}$$

$$-\cos\left(\frac{t_1}{2}\right)=\sin\psi=\frac{t_1/2}{\sqrt{1+(t_1/2)^2}},\quad \frac{\pi}{2}<\frac{t_1}{2}<\pi. \tag{51}$$

IV) The least positive number t_0 such that $x(t_0)=w_0$ is equal to $2\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2-1}$. In addition $\lim_{t\to t_0-0}\psi(t)=\pi$ and $S(t_0)=(2\pi|\beta|/\sqrt{\beta^2-1})-2\pi$ by (42). $S(t_0)=2\pi$ (respectively $S(t_0)=\pi$) if $|\beta|=2/\sqrt{3}$ (respectively $|\beta|=3/\sqrt{5}$). Let us consider three cases:
a) $|\beta|\geq 3/\sqrt{5}$, b) $1<|\beta|\leq 2/\sqrt{3}$, , c) $2/\sqrt{3}<|\beta|<3/\sqrt{5}$.

a) In this case in view of proposition 5

$$t_1=2\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2-1}. \tag{52}$$

b) In this case there is a unique number t_1 such that $0<t_1\leq\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2-1}$ and $S(t_1)=\pi$. Then in consequence of (32) and proposition 4

$$\pi=|\beta|t_1-2\psi,\quad 0<\psi\leq\pi/2,\quad \frac{\pi}{2}<\frac{|\beta|t_1}{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}+\psi\leq\pi. \tag{53}$$

$$\sin\left(\frac{|\beta|t_1}{2}\right)=\cos\psi=\frac{\sqrt{\beta^2-1}\cos(t_1\sqrt{\beta^2-1}/2)}{\sqrt{\beta^2-\cos^2(t_1\sqrt{\beta^2-1}/2)}}, \tag{54}$$

$$-\cos\left(\frac{|\beta|t_1}{2}\right)=\sin\psi=\frac{|\beta|\sin(t_1\sqrt{\beta^2-1}/2)}{\sqrt{\beta^2-\cos^2(t_1\sqrt{\beta^2-1}/2)}}. \tag{55}$$

c) We get the same formulae (54), (55) but in this case

$$\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2-1}<t_1<2\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2-1},\quad \pi/2<\psi<\pi,\quad \pi<\frac{|\beta|t_1}{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}+\psi<\frac{3\pi}{2}. \tag{56}$$

Theorem 6. *Let (37), where $t_1=t_1(|\beta|)$, is a noncontinuable shortest arc in $(SO_0(2,1),d)$. Then $t_1(|\beta|)$ is stronly decreasing function of $|\beta|>0$.*

Proof. In consequence of p. a) of proposition 5 and formula (52) this statement is true for $|\beta|\geq 3/\sqrt{5}$. If $0<|\beta|<3/\sqrt{5}$ then the second formula in (47) is valid. In consequence of it and (45)

$$t_1+|\beta|\frac{dt_1}{d|\beta|}=2\psi'(t_1)\cdot\frac{dt_1}{d|\beta|}=\frac{2|\beta|}{n+2}\cdot\frac{dt_1}{d|\beta|},\quad t_1=\frac{-|\beta|n}{n+2}\cdot\frac{dt_1}{d|\beta|}.$$

It follows from here and second formulae in (31), (32), (33) that $dt_1/d|\beta|<0$, because $0<t_1<2\pi/\sqrt{\beta^2-1}$ if $1<|\beta|<3/\sqrt{5}$. Thus theorem is proved. □

Remark 7. *Canonical projection $p : L_1^2 \to L^2$ is principal bundle with fiber $SO(2)$. Since L^2 is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$, there exists smooth unit vector field on L^2. Therefore the fibration p is trivial and L_1^2, $SO_0(2,1)$ are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$. Consequently fundamental groups of Lie groups $SO_0(2,1)$ and $Sl(2)$ are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. It would be interesting to find shortest arcs for locally isometric epimorphic covering by Lie groups $Sl(2) \to SO_0(2,1)$ and $\tilde{Sl}(2) \to Sl(2)$, where $\tilde{Sl}(2)$ is simply connected.*

6. Cut locus and conjugate sets in $(SO_0(2,1), d)$

Unlike Riemannian manifolds, exponential map Exp and its restriction Exp_x for sub-Riemannian manifold (M, d) without abnormal geodesics (as in the case of $(SO_0(2,1), d)$) are defined not on TM and T_xM but only on D and $D(x)$, where D is distribution on M, taking part in definition of d. Otherwise cut locus and conjugate sets for such sub-Riemannian manifold are defined in the same way as for Riemannian one [9].

Definition 1. *Cut locus $C(x)$ (respectively conjugate set $S(x)$) for a point x in sub-Riemannian manifolds M (without abnormal geodesics) is the set of ends of all noncontinuable beyond its ends shortest arcs starting at the point x (respectively, image of the set of critical points of the map Exp_x with respect to Exp_x).*

Theorem 7. *For every element $g \in (SO_0(2,1), d)$, $C(g) = gC(e)$ and $S(g) = gS(e)$. Moreover $S(g) \subset C(g)$,*

$$C(e) = \{\gamma_\beta(t_1(\beta)) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{57}$$

$$S(e) = \{\gamma_\beta(t_1(\beta)) : \beta^2 \geq 9/5\} = SO(2) \setminus \{e\}; \tag{58}$$

$S(e)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$;

$$\overline{S(e)} = S(e) \cup \{e\} = SO(2), \tag{59}$$

$\overline{S(e)}$ is diffeomorphic to circle S^1;

$$\overline{C(e) \setminus S(e)} = (C(e) \setminus S(e)) \cup \left\{\gamma_\beta(t_1(\beta)) = \gamma_{-\beta}(t_1(-\beta)) : \beta = \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}\right\}, \tag{60}$$

$\overline{C(e) \setminus S(e)}$ is diffeomorphic to real projective plane without a point $RP^2 \setminus \{\infty\}$; $C(e)$ is homeomorphic to $(RP^2 \setminus \{\infty\}) \cup \mathbb{R}$, where $(RP^2 \setminus \{\infty\}) \cap \mathbb{R}$ is one-point set; $\overline{C(e)}$ is homeomorphic to $(RP^2 \setminus \{\infty\}) \cup S^1$, where $(RP^2 \setminus \{\infty\}) \cap S^1$ is one-point set.

Proof. First statement is a corollary of left invariance of the metric d on $SO_0(2,1)$. Inclusion $S(g) \subset C(g)$, formulae (57), (58), equality in brace from (60), and diffeomorphism $S(e) \cong \mathbb{R}$ are corollaries from the proof of proposition 5 and remark 4. Formula (59) and diffeomorphism $\overline{S(e)} \cong S^1$ follow from formula (58). Equality (60) follows from formulae (57), (58); $\overline{C(e) \setminus S(e)} \cong RP^2 \setminus \{\infty\}$ follows from equalities $\gamma_{(\beta,\phi_0)}(t_1(\beta)) = \gamma_{(-\beta,-\beta t_1+\phi_0+\pi)}(t_1(-\beta))$ for $|\beta| \leq 3/\sqrt{5}$. Now it is not difficult to prove remaining statements. □

Remark 8. *It follows from (59) and equalities* $C(g) = gC(e)$, $S(g) = gS(e)$ *that* $g \in gSO(2) = \overline{S(g)} \subset \overline{C(g)}$ *for all* $g \in SO_0(2,1)$ *while* $x \notin \overline{C(x)}$ *and* $x \notin \overline{S(x)}$ *for any point* x *of arbitrary smooth Riemannian manifold. This constitutes radical difference of Riemannian and sub-Riemannian manifolds.*

References

[1] *Sasaki S.*, On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds // Tohoku Math. J. – 1958. – Vol. 10, №3. P. 338-354; II: 1962. – Vol. 14, №2. – P. 146-155.

[2] *Besse A.L.*, Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1978.

[3] *Berestovskii V.N., Guijarro L.*, A metric characterization of Riemannian submersions // Ann. Global Anal. Geom. – 2000. – Vol. 18, №6. P. 577-588.

[4] *Pogorelov A.V.*, Differential geometry. Nordhof, 1959.

[5] *Berestovskii V.N., Zubareva I.A.*, Shapes of spheres of special nonholonomic left-invariant intrinsic metrics on some Lie groups// Siber. Math. J.– 2001. – Vol. 42, №4. P. 613-628.

[6] *Berestovskii V.N.*, Universal methods of the search of normal geodesics on Lie groups with left-invariant sub-Riemannian metric //Siber. Math. J. — 2014. – Vol. 55, №5. P. 783-791.

[7] *Helgason S.*, Differential geometry and symmetric spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1962.

[8] *Gantmacher F.R.*, The theory of matrices. Vol. 1,2. Taylor & Francis, 1959.

[9] *Gromoll D., Klingenberg W., Meyer W.*, Riemannsche geometrie im grossen. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1968.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SD RAS

V.N.Berestovskii
E-mail address: vberestov@inbox.ru